



Reproducibility and ACM *Transactions on Mathematical Software*


Michael Heroux

Reproducibility Terminology

V. Stodden, D. H. Bailey, J. Borwein, R. J. LeVeque, W. Rider, and W. Stein. 2013. Setting the Default to Reproducible: Reproducibility in Computational and Experimental Mathematics. (2013). http://icerm.brown.edu/html/programs/topical/tw12_5_rcem/icerm_report.pdf

- **Reviewable Research.** The descriptions of the research methods can be independently assessed and the results judged credible. (This includes both traditional peer review and community review, and does not necessarily imply reproducibility.)
- **Replicable Research.** Tools are made available that would allow one to duplicate the results of the research, for example by running the authors' code to produce the plots shown in the publication. (Here tools might be limited in scope, e.g., only essential data or executables, and might only be made available to referees or only upon request.)
- **Confirmable Research.** The main conclusions of the research can be attained independently without the use of software provided by the author. (But using the complete description of algorithms and methodology provided in the publication and any supplementary materials.)
- **Auditable Research.** Sufficient records (including data and software) have been archived so that the research can be defended later if necessary or differences between independent confirmations resolved. The archive might be private, as with traditional laboratory notebooks.
- **Open or Reproducible Research.** Auditable research made openly available. This comprised well-documented and fully open code and data that are publicly available that would allow one to (a) fully audit the computational procedure, (b) replicate and also independently reproduce the results of the research, and (c) extend the results or apply the method to new problems.

- TOMS RCR Initiative: Referee Data.
- Why TOMS? Tradition of real software that others use.
- Two categories: Algorithms, Research.
- TOMS Algorithms Category:
 - Software Submitted with manuscript.
 - Both are thoroughly reviewed.
- TOMS Research Category:
 - Stronger: Previous implicit “real software” requirement is explicit.
 - New: Special designation for replicated results.



ACM TOMS Reproducible Computational Results (RCR) Process

- Submission: Optional (for now) RCR option.
- Standard reviewer assignment: Nothing changes.
- RCR reviewer assignment:
 - Concurrent with the first round of standard reviews
 - Known to and works with the authors during the RCR process.
- RCR process:
 - Multi-faceted approach.
- Publication:
 - Replicated Computational Results Designation.
 - The RCR referee acknowledged.
 - Review report appears with published manuscript.



RCR Process

- Independent replication:
 - Transfer of or pointer to software given to RCR reviewer.
 - Guest account, access to software on author's system.
 - Detailed observation of the authors replicating the results.
- Review of computational results artifacts:
 - Results may be from a system that is no longer available.
 - Leadership class computing system.
 - In this situation:
 - Careful documentation of the process.
 - Software should have its own substantial verification process.

Evidence:

Cover letter excerpt from RCR candidate paper

Thank you for taking the time to consider our paper for your journal.

XXX has agreed to undergo the RCR process should the paper proceed far enough in the review process to qualify. ***To make this easier we have preserved the exact copy of the code used for the results (including additional code for generating detailed statistics that is not in the library version of the code).***



Status

- First RCR paper in TOMS issue 41:30
 - Editorial introduction.
 - van Zee & van de Geijn, BLIS paper.
 - Referee report.
- Target: 1 RCR paper per TOMS issue.
 - Hogg & Scott next.